

Serial No:10/688053
Docket No: Rennich-001

REMARKS

Applicant, believes on page 3 of the examiner's action under "Allowable Subject Matter", the examiner meant claims 22-26 and 32-34 would be allowed if rewritten to overcome the rejections(s) under 35 USC 101, not 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph, as the examiner does not set forth a 35 USC 112 rejection in this action. Applicant, has responded to this office action under this belief.

Claim 21 is canceled.

Claim 22 is canceled in favor of being written as claim 36 for clarity and to include all of the limitations of claim 21 and 22.

Claims 23 – 36 as amended and recited above overcomes the 35 USC 101 rejection because, "arranged" is amended to be "arrangeable", "extending" is amended to be "extendable", and "contact" is amended to be "contactable", as per the examiner's suggestion.

Claim 36 as recited above is patentable under 35 USC 102(b) over Hopkins (USPN 4,458,681) as it is written to include all of the limitations of claim 21 and of claim 22.

Claims 23-28 as amended and recited above are patentable over Hopkins as each depends from an allowable claim.

Claim 29 as amended and recited above is patentable under USC 102(b) over Hopkins (USPN 4,458,681) because it has been amended to include: